Hearing Loss Contributes to Balance Difficulties in both Younger and Older Adults
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Abstract

Objective: The number of steps required to regain balance is an easily obtainable clinical outcome measure. This study assessed whether number of steps during loss of balance could identify older adults with hearing loss who have balance deficits. We aimed to answer two questions: 1) Does hearing loss negatively affect the ability to regain balance, as reflected by an increased number of steps needed to respond to a perturbation while simultaneously attending to speech-in-noise; and 2) Do hearing aids improve balance control, reflected by a decrease in number of steps needed to regain balance?

Methods: 20 young adults and 20 older adults with normal hearing, and 19 older adults with hearing loss performed an auditory-balance dual-task. Participants were asked to listen and repeat back sentences from a standardized audiology test, while simultaneously responding to backward surface translations. Outcome measures were performed on the auditory test and number of steps needed to regain balance. Repeated measures ANCOVA models were run in using group, time, hearing levels, and perturbation levels as predictors.

Results: Auditory scores confirmed difficulty hearing speech-in-noise in older adults with hearing loss and no hearing aids, and in young and older adults with normal hearing and simulated hearing loss. Results showed that group, auditory and balance conditions are significantly related to both outcomes measures and time is not significant for steps. Older adults with hearing loss had a significant increase in number of steps needed to regain balance compared to young adults and older adults with normal hearing.

Conclusion: Number of steps may be an appropriate clinical assessment tool for identifying fall risk in older adults with hearing loss. Further research needs to be performed to identify proper assessments and treatment interventions for older adults with hearing loss who have balance deficits.
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Introduction

Falls are a common problem with adults 65 years and older. One-third of older adults fall annually, costing the United States government approximately 34 billion dollars to cover direct medical expenses for procedures and hospitalization [1,2]. Falls are not only financially costly; falls also burden families taking care of the older adult, stress the constantly shrinking budget for Medicare, decrease the quality of life for the older adult, and may even lead to death of the older adult [3,4].

Another common problem plaguing older adults is hearing loss. Age-related hearing loss affects greater than 60% of people aged 70-79 and 80% of those 80 and older [5]. In the USA, hearing loss has expanded at a rate of 160% of the total population growth and continues to grow due to an aging population [6,7]. Evidence now suggests older adults should address hearing loss because untreated hearing loss may have consequences such as depression, cognitive impairment, and even dementia [8-10].

Moreover, recent evidence has also linked hearing loss to balance deficits in older adults through fall-risk associated assessments, such as slower walking speed and poor Romberg scores [11,12]. These balance deficits increase when noise is present during balance testing [13]. Although the mentioned evidence highlights the need to identify older adults with hearing loss who are at risk for falling, to our knowledge no study has investigated the impact of hearing loss on ability to regain balance following an unexpected loss of balance. Number of steps is an observable clinical outcome measure that can be used when administering reactive balance tests, such as the Nudge Test, to identify an older adult faller [14,15]. An increased number of recovery steps after an unexpected loss of balance are associated with an increased risk for falling [16-18].

We aimed to answer two questions: 1) does hearing loss negatively affect the ability to regain balance as reflected by an increased number of steps needed after a perturbation, and 2) do hearing aids reverse this effect and improve balance control, reflected by a decrease in number of steps needed to regain balance.

We hypothesize older adults with hearing loss will take a greater number of steps during an unexpected loss of balance,
comparing to young adults with normal hearing and older adults
with normal hearing.

Methods

Twenty-five young adults, 33 healthy older adults with normal
hearing, and 22 older adults with hearing loss were verbally
informed about the research study and voluntarily agreed to
participate through Institutional Review Board (IRB)-approved
informed consent. All participants were phone screened prior to
enrollment to ensure no visual, vestibular, somatosensory,
auditory, health conditions or balance impairments existed that
would restrict ability or confound results of the study.
Participants were excluded if they had a history of motion
sickness/dizziness, or were currently taking medications that
affect balance.

Participants underwent cognitive and sensory screening to
ensure no undiagnosed cognitive or sensory impairments were
present. Five young adults, 13 older adults with normal hearing,
and three older adults with hearing loss were excluded due to
either undiagnosed cognitive or sensory impairments, or
withdrew from the study; resulting in a final count of 20 young
adults, 20 older adults with normal hearing, and 19 older adults
with hearing loss who participated.

Participants underwent dual-task auditory and balance testing
while standing on an instrumented dual-belt treadmill. Participants
were required to stand and maintain their balance with
unexpected surface translations while simultaneously
listening and repeating back sentences. Dual-task auditory-
balance sentences were randomized to control for a learning
effect [19-21]. Participants were required to listen and repeat
sentences from the standardized audiology outcome measure,
the Bamford-Kowal-Bench Speech-In-Noise (BKB-SIN) test [22].
These are simple sentences like “the football player lost a shoe.”
Each sentence has a specific speech-to-noise ratio, and the
scoring on the BKB-SIN outcome measure indicates the required
ratio of speech-in-noise for a participant to be able to correctly
repeat back 50% of the sentences. The higher the BKB-SIN score,
the lower the performance on the auditory test. There were
three auditory conditions: 1) no audio sound, no repeat back
resulting in the single task of maintaining balance; 2) normal
hearing condition in which the BKB-SIN audio files were played,
and participants with a diagnosis of hearing loss wore their
hearing aids; and 3) hearing loss condition in which the audio
files were manipulated to simulate hearing loss for the young
and old adults without a hearing loss diagnosis, and participants
with a hearing loss diagnosis performed the task without their
hearing aids. Participants with hearing loss received the audio
input through the speakers, which was delivered directly to the
ear via hearing aids. In order to standardize audio input directly
to the ear, participants with normal hearing received the audio
input to the ear through Bose® QuietComfort 35 wireless
headphones.

Backward surface translations were delivered through the
treadmill dual-belt system causing the participant to experience
a forward loss of balance, while he or she simultaneously
listened and repeated the sentence. Three balance conditions
were delivered: “0” at 0 m/s² and no backward surface
translations, resulting in the single task of listening and
repeating back the sentence; “1” backward surface translations
at acceleration of 2 m/s²; and “2” backward surface translations
at acceleration of 5 m/s². The surface translations induced a loss
of balance requiring the participants to take 1 or more
compensatory steps forward to maintain their balance. An
overhead harness system equipped to support up to 181 kg was
in place to prevent participants from hitting the ground if a fall
would occur.

Combinations of three auditory and three balance conditions
were provided randomly and each participant completed 8 trials
per combination of auditory-balance conditions.

A 12 camera Motion Analysis System collected kinematic data
from 54 reflective markers placed on anatomical landmarks of
the body. The V-gait treadmill system by Motek Medical
containing 2 separate force plates mounted underneath each
belt was used to deliver surface translations and record force
data (Figure 1).

**Figure 1:** An example image of the research study performed
in the laboratory. The participant is standing on a dual-belt
treadmill and wearing 54 reflective markers that are being
captured by 12 surrounding cameras.

The primary outcome measures were number of steps and
BKB-SIN scores. Number of steps was recorded using visual
observation with Cortex Motion Analysis to verify for any
uncertainties. Only 1 fall into the harness occurred during data
collection; therefore, steps leading to the fall were counted. The
BKB-SIN was scored by a single grader, who wore headphones
connected via Bluetooth to a microphone worn by the
participant.

The number of steps and BKB-SIN scores across the 8 trials
per combination of auditory-balance conditions were averaged
by person, resulting in an average outcome score per
combination. For each outcome, repeated measures ANCOVA
models were run in Stata 13.1 using group, time, auditory
condition, and balance condition as predictors. All independent
variables were coded as categorical with the first group entered
as the referent group, and time, auditory, and balance conditions designated as repeated measures variables. The between-participants error terms was designated as “ID|time”, ID was designated the variable representing the lowest unit in the between-participants error term, and time was designated as the group variable for computing the pooled covariance matrix.

Results

Baseline characteristics for the sample of 59 participants by group are presented in Table 1. Young adults with normal hearing (YANH), Older adults with normal hearing (OANH) and Older adults with hearing loss (OAHL).

The results suggest that group, auditory condition and balance condition (perturbation level) are significantly related to both outcome measures. There were significant difference in the BKB-SIN score between groups, auditory and balance conditions (all p<0.0001) (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Older adults with hearing loss have significantly higher average BKB-SIN scores, with a higher score indicating worse performance, compared to young adults and older adults with normal hearing during Level 0, 1, and 2 surface translations. All adults perform significantly worse under the hearing loss condition. YANH=Young Adults with Normal Hearing; OANH=Older Adults with Normal Hearing; OAHL=Older Adults with Hearing Loss. Normal Hearing=Normal Hearing/Hearing Aid condition; Hearing Loss=Simulated Hearing Loss/No Hearing Aid condition.

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of young adults with normal hearing, older adults with normal hearing and older adults with hearing loss.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline Characteristics</th>
<th>YANH</th>
<th>OANH</th>
<th>OAHL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Participants (n)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs) (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>27.2 ± 3.0</td>
<td>68.7 ± 4.3</td>
<td>73.2 ± 9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm) (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>170.4 ± 8.8</td>
<td>163.6 ± 8.2</td>
<td>169.4 ± 9.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was a significant difference in number of steps between groups, (p=0.0001), auditory conditions (p=0.0301), and balance conditions (p<0.0001) (Figure 3). In addition, the perturbation level has a greater impact on steps, and auditory condition has a greater impact on BKB-SIN. Time was not significant for number of steps (p=0.1828), but was significant for BKB-SIN (p=0.0001), meaning that repeated trials lead to different performances.

Figure 3: Older adults with hearing loss take a significantly greater number of steps on average compared to young and older adults with normal hearing during no repeat back, normal hearing, and hearing loss conditions, significantly increasing as perturbation level increases from 1 to 2. Number of steps significantly changes across all groups as challenge of task increases from single-task, no repeat back to dual-task condition under normal hearing to dual-task condition under hearing loss. YANH=Young Adults with Normal Hearing; OANH=Older Adults with Normal Hearing; OAHL=Older Adults with Hearing Loss. Normal Hearing=Normal Hearing/Hearing Aid condition; Hearing Loss=Simulated Hearing Loss/No Hearing Aid condition.
Discussion

The results of this study state there are significant differences in BKB-SIN scores and number of steps between young and older adults with normal hearing, and older adults with hearing loss. These results suggest older adults with hearing loss have poorer reactive balance compared to young and older adults with normal hearing. In older adults with normal hearing, simulated hearing loss negatively affects the ability to regain balance as reflected by an increased number of steps needed after a perturbation. However, the balance performance, as measured by the number of steps required to regain balance while wearing hearing aids, may not have significantly improved enough to prevent a fall. This suggests that while, hearing aids are beneficial for speech recognition, their impact in reversing the negative effect and improve balance control is not as easily measured or understood.

These results coincide with the mixed literature regarding hearing loss and balance difficulty among older adults, as well as whether hearing aids improve balance for older adults with hearing loss [23]. Older adults with hearing loss have been shown to have increased sway compared to older adults with normal hearing, and hearing aids have been shown to improve static balance and balance outcome measures such as the Berg Balance Scale (BBS) [12,24,25]. Older adults with hearing loss have also been shown to have no difference in performance on physical tasks and outcome measures, such as the Timed-Up-And-Go (TUG), and hearing aids did not improve physical function [26,27].

One limitation to the study was the size of the treadmill and the harness system. All individuals were limited in the number and direction of steps able to be taken compared to a setting where participants are able to move more freely [28]. Another limitation is the variability of BKB-SIN scores, particularly among older adults with hearing loss. Some older adults with hearing loss scored close to older adults with normal hearing on the BKB-SIN, while others experienced the floor effect with the BKB-SIN – with higher scores indicating worse performance – and could only attend to a small handful of sentences. The BKB-SIN was designed and is usually administered in a sitting position in a sound-proof booth. The test may have a floor or ceiling effect that has yet to be examined while participants are standing and interacting in a ‘real-world’ setting [29]. The older adults with hearing loss experiencing the floor effect on the BKB-SIN may not have demonstrated a true listening-auditory dual-task based on their hearing ability and these results could actually mask this population at risk for falling, especially in noisy environments [30]. Lastly, many older adults with hearing loss read lips, but the role of vision on speech perception while performing a balance test was not able to be assessed based on the nature of the BKB-SIN [31,32].

It is currently unknown how and why older adults with hearing loss fall more often compared to older adults with normal hearing [33]. More research needs to be performed in order to determine reasons behind why older adults with hearing loss fall more often in order to create proper assessment and treatment strategies for older adults with hearing loss who are at risk for falling [34].

Conclusion

Older adults with hearing loss appear to require an increased number of steps to regain balance and may be at a greater risk for falling compared to older adults with normal hearing. Number of steps may be an appropriate balance outcome measure to assess fall risk for older adults with hearing.
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